Subjective Video Quality Measurements of Digital Television Streams with Various Bitrates
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Abstract: This paper first presents the most important standardized subjective quality assessment methods described in the ITU-R BT.500 recommendation. We briefly summarise why these subjective tests are so important. Finally, we discuss the implementation of the new subjective video quality measurement related to the impaired digital quality television programs. Our aim is to improve these subjective picture quality assessment methods to get sophisticated results, which correlate better with the objective picture quality test results. We would like to develop some objective picture quality measurements in the future.
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Introduction

For the past few years we have dealt with subjective and objective picture quality measurements of digital television streams in the Digital Television Laboratory of the Department of Automation. After we had analysed the results of our subjective tests and drawn the conclusions, we started new subjective quality measurements, which focus on the video quality of the digital television streams, so-called transport streams having different bitrates.

Compression methods for digital television use different compression algorithms. Quality measurements are used to find the best compression method. There are two main categories of comparison methods: the objective video quality evaluation method based on mathematical calculations and the
Digital television streams are compressed according to the MPEG-2 or MPEG-4 standards. Nowadays digital television broadcasting systems often use statistical multiplexers. In statistical multiplexing, the communication channel is divided into an appropriate number of variable bitrate digital channels or data streams. Our goal is to determine the lowest bitrate, which has still acceptable quality. This bitrate would be used in statistical multiplexers as minimum bitrate. Consequently, we use these quality measurements in order to find the compression parameters, which still result in acceptable video quality.

1. Subjective Television Picture Quality Assessment Methods

In this section we would like to introduce the most common subjective quality assessment methods of the digital television picture [1].

International recommendations for subjective quality assessment of television picture consist of specifications how to perform many different types of subjective tests. Subjective assessment methods are used to establish the performance of television systems. Measurements are therefore applied, which more directly anticipate the reactions of those who might view the tested systems. In this regard, it is understood that it may not be possible to fully characterize the system performance by objective means. Consequently, it is necessary to supplement objective measurements with subjective measurements.

In the course of a typical subjective quality test, a number of non-expert observers are selected, tested for their visual capabilities, shown a series of test scenes for about 10 to 30 minutes in a controlled environment and asked to score the quality of the scenes in one of a variety of manners.

In general, there are two types of subjective assessments. First, there are assessments that bring about the performance of systems under optimum conditions. These are usually called quality assessments. Second, there are assessments that create the ability of systems to retain quality under non-optimum conditions associated with the transmission or emission called impairment assessments. Some of these test methods are double-stimulus where viewers rate the quality or the change in quality between two video streams (reference and impaired). Others are single-stimulus where viewers rate the quality of just one video stream (the impaired). These methods will be later described.

In a modern television system, however, the picture quality is not a constant over time due to the compression streams. In the case of statistical multiplexing, the picture quality is a function of the complexity of the program material and the continuous operation of the transmission system. The selection of the
assessment method is affected by a number of procedural elements. These are the viewing conditions, the choice of observers, the scaling method to score the opinions, the reference conditions, the signal sources for the test scenes, the timing of the presentation of the various test scenes, the selection of a range of test scenes and the analysis of the resulting scores.

A description of the various subjective measurement methods provides some insight in the following sections.

### 1.1 Double-stimulus Impairment Scale Method

Double-stimulus Impairment Scale (DSIS) is a subjective assessment method when observers are shown multiple reference scenes and degraded scene pairs. The reference scene is always shown at first. Scoring is on an overall impression scale of impairment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quality</th>
<th>Impairment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5 Excellent</td>
<td>5 Imperceptible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Good</td>
<td>4 Perceptible, but not annoying</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Fair</td>
<td>3 Slightly annoying</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Poor</td>
<td>2 Annoying</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 Bad</td>
<td>1 Very annoying</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Five-grade scale recommended by ITU

This scale is commonly known as the 5-point scale, where 5 equals with the imperceptible level of impairment and 1 shows the very annoying level as it is shown in Table 1.

### 1.2 Double-stimulus Continuous Quality-scale Method

In case of the Double-stimulus Continuous Quality-scale (DSCQS) method, observers are shown multiple sequence pairs with the reference and degraded sequences randomly first. Scoring is on a continuous quality scale from excellent to bad where each sequence of the pair is separately rated but in reference to the other sequence in the pair. Analysis is based on the difference in rating for each pair rather than the absolute values [2].

### 1.3 Single-stimulus Methods

Multiple separate scenes are shown in the Single-stimulus methods. There are two approaches: SS with no repetition of test scenes and SSMR where the test scenes are repeated multiple times. Three different scoring methods are
used. Adjectival scoring method has a 5-grade impairment scale, however half-grades may be allowed. Numerical scoring method has an 11-grade numerical scale, useful if a reference is not available. And finally there is a Non-categorical scoring, where assessors can score in a continuous scale with no numbers or a large range.

1.4 Stimulus-comparison Method

Stimulus-comparison method is usually accomplished with two well matched monitors but may be done with one. The differences between sequence pairs are scored in two different ways: Adjectival scale is a 7-grade, +3 to -3 scale labelled: much better, better, slightly better, the same, slightly worse, worse, and much worse, while Non-categorical is a continuous scale with no numbers or a relation number either in absolute terms or related to a standard pair.

1.5 Single Stimulus Continuous Quality Evaluation

Single Stimulus Continuous Quality Evaluation (SSCQE) is performed with a program, as opposed to separate test scenes, which is continuously evaluated over a long period of 10 to 20 minutes. Data is taken from a continuous scale every few seconds. Scoring is a distribution of the amount of time a particular score is given. This method relates well to the time variant qualities of new compressed systems. However, it tends to have a significant content of program quality in addition to the picture quality [4].

2. Statistical Multiplexing

The flexibility of the MPEG-2 coding system provides the opportunity to broadcast digital television streams, which have more or less bitrates. Everybody knows that the picture contains more information and has better quality when the rate of the stream, which transmits the compressed picture, is higher. In case of still or slowly moving picture sequences, which do not contain fine details, there is a limit, above which there is no use increasing the data rate, the picture, which has good quality, cannot be better at the receiver side. The change of the picture content and the moving of picture elements increase the amount of information to be transfer. Consequently, to observe the video quality, the data rate must be raised.

The creation of data rate depending on the picture content only makes sense when we can utilize the unused data rate range. In different transmission networks, where more TV programmes can be simultaneously transmitted, in
the spaces, which become vacant, one or more TV programmes can be delivered if we can control the resulting data rate.

Statistical multiplexing means that at transmitter site we compress the data stream with content-dependent data rate; however, we should meet the requirements that the resulting data rate cannot be higher than a predefined value. It is also important to determine a predefined order with which we ensure how much data rate will be allocated to the given programme in case of a large bitrate demand at the same time [3].

Fig. 1. shows how the statistical multiplex works, so the digital television streams, which are coming from different locations (e.g. studios) with variable bitrates are added in one statistical multiplex stream.

With subjective quality measurements of digital TV streams, the minimum level of bitrate and other coding parameters, such as GOP (Group of Pictures) size and structure, as well as video picture parameters like brightness, contrast, saturation, can be determined. Nowadays there is a significant demand for these subjective results.

3. Subjective Video Quality Measurements

In this section we would like to describe our previous subjective picture quality measurements, and then we would like to go into details about our new measurements.

3.1 Short Presentation of Previous Quality Tests

We have previously executed three different types of subjective picture quality tests of digital television pictures coming from different digital television channels. We used a wide screen LCD television for the experiment,
whose screen could be separated into two parts. We chose three different digital television channels: satellite, cable and terrestrial. We selected three different programs: m2, Duna and Autonómia, which can be freely received in Hungary. The observers were undergraduates and one test session consisted of 5-15 of them. In the first test, observers rated the still pictures one after the other. In the second one, picture sequences were displayed in the two separate screens, so students had to evaluate the picture quality simultaneously. Finally, in the last test, observers assessed the quality of short motion picture sequences.

The evaluation was created by taking into account three aspects: sharpness, naturalness and subjective order. Therefore, observers had to determine an order between A and B pictures. They could note the results in an evaluation form. Test sessions took about 20-30 minutes. One test session comprised 8-12 pairs of 10-second pictures, covered the possible combination of different sources, such as satellite vs. cable. Between pictures there was a 10-second interval for the evaluation. Before the test pictures there was a mid-grey picture as mentioned in the ITU standard. We evaluated the test results by counting the votes of the observers in the different categories. In the serial subjective test of still pictures, we collected 216 votes, according to which the cable system got most of the votes in each category. In the serial test of motion pictures, we obtained a varied result, from the 243 votes gathered, the terrestrial system dominated in the sharpness category, while the satellite system got most of the votes in the naturalness and the subjective order categories [5].

Drawing the conclusions, we can make some important remarks. First of all, we should create some teaching methods for the video assessment, so that the non-expert observers could prepare for voting the quality. It is very important to teach the observers what they should pay attention before the real test, because it is really influence the test results. The experimenter should explain and demonstrate the evaluation categories (naturalness, sharpness, saturation, hue, etc.), the typical errors, which can occur in the digital video streams, and of course the essential information about the subjective quality assessment (number of test sequences, the duration of the voting period, the voting scale, etc.). In our opinion, by using a well-implemented teaching method, the fidelity of the subjective quality assessment can be improved.

Another important point is to select and record the test material in an appropriate way. In our previous subjective quality measurements it was a serious problem, that the test sequences were recorded after the error correction on the receiver side and not at the end of the transmission channel before the error correction. In the new subjective quality assessment, it was also a difficult task how to record test samples with various bitrates. We provide the related information in the following section.
We should also consider the laboratory circumstances (the distance between
the screen and the observers, the resolution and other parameters of the
television set, etc.). The ITU recommendation has good criteria to establish the
appropriate laboratory environment; however, it has financial implication.
Finally, we should find a better way to record the votes of the observers,
because so far they have filled a voting form. We had to evaluate thousands of
voting papers, which resulted in mistakes. Consequently, a subjective quality
assessment application is developed in order to help our work.

3.2 New Subjective Quality Measurement

As previously mentioned, our purpose is to conduct some subjective video
quality tests of digital television streams, which have various bitrates.

3.2.1 Subjective Quality Assessment Supporter Application

For these measurements we have developed an application in Java
environment, which provides a graphical interface in order to easily assess the
digital television video.

![Subjective quality assessment software.](image)

The program has two parts: the server and the client, which can be seen in
Figure 2. The experimenter, who conducts the measurement, can configure or
customize the subjective quality test on the New Assessment tab in the server
software. First, the Maxconnections field has to be set, which determines the
number of observers. Then, the experimenter should give the path of the VLC
location. If it is well configured, then after the start of the new assessment, the
VLC media player will display the test sequences. The assessment name and
date is automatically set by the program. In the following steps the experimenter
should give the name of the assessment, set the number of sections in the test
session, configure the duration of one test sequence and the voting period in
seconds and select the type of the test scale, which can be a 5-grade scale
recommended by ITU as it is shown in Table 1. or a spinner, which is a 100-grade continuous scale. Finally, the path of the test material has to be set.

The observers should run the client program and set some parameters, such as the name, the unique ID and the IP of the computer on which the server application runs.

When the experimenter starts the measurement, which can be automatic or manual, the voting screen will automatically appear on the client screen and the observers will have a defined amount of time to score the quality. The client software sends the scores to the server application, which stores them into its database. When the subjective measurement is finished, the experimenter can evaluate the results in a table or in a chart. The table contains the assessment ID, the assessment name and date, the assessor ID and name, the section number and the quality score. With SQL commands, the experimenter can create some queries in order to filter the huge amount of data. In the chart, the results of a given assessment can be seen, where the two axes are the number of sections and the mean value of the scores voted by the observers.

3.2.2 Recording the Test Material

Our first task was to record digital television video samples, which have different bitrates. Fig. 3 presents the environment, how we recorded the test material.

![Figure 3: Environment for Recording the Test Material.](image)

In the Digital Television Laboratory we used the Digital Cable TV Head-end, which contains special hardware devices developed by CableWorld Ltd. The QPSK demodulator is used to receive the digital transport streams broadcasted via satellite channel. The demodulated transport stream is then sent to the MPEG-2 Encoder. With the MPEG-2 Encoder Controller application running on the Control Computer, the coding parameters and the bitrates of the transport stream could be configured. In the final step, this encoded transport stream was displayed with the VLC media player. We used this media player to record video samples.

The problem was that we could not record test samples with various bitrates continuously; it was the fault of the VLC media player. Therefore, we recorded
10-second video samples and concatenated them into one test video sequence, which could be later used for the subjective quality measurements. However, we have not found appropriate MPEG-2 editor software yet, with which we can concatenate the splitted sections without re-encoding them. So it is a problem, which needs to be solved in the future.

### 3.2.3 Presentation of the Subjective Quality Assessment and the Result

We established a quality assessment environment in our laboratory. We created a computer network with 9-12 personnel and one server computers. Observers used the personal computers to run the client application. On the server machine the experimenter run the server application and conducted the subjective quality test. One test session was taken about 10-20 minutes, because the observers were needed to concentrate hard under the quality assessment.

**Table 2: Five-grade scale recommended by ITU**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Seq. N.</th>
<th>Bitrate (kbps)</th>
<th>1. Measurement (0-5)</th>
<th>2. Measurement (0-100)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>8000</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>39.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>992</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>4.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>1504</td>
<td>3.75</td>
<td>51.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>4000</td>
<td>4.50</td>
<td>73.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>1104</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>8.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>1600</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>39.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>2608</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>87.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td>3504</td>
<td>4.25</td>
<td>79.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.</td>
<td>3008</td>
<td>3.75</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.</td>
<td>2800</td>
<td>4.50</td>
<td>67.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.</td>
<td>1904</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>33.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.</td>
<td>1200</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.</td>
<td>6000</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.</td>
<td>1312</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>7.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.</td>
<td>4512</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>67.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.</td>
<td>1408</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>22.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.</td>
<td>2400</td>
<td>3.25</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.</td>
<td>5008</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19.</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>4.25</td>
<td>75.50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

So far we have only a few number of test result as described in Table 2. We used a test material included 19 sections with different bitrates. In the first and the second measurements the mean of the quality scores can be seen. The difference between the two measurements is the voting scale, which was used for the test. It can be seen that the video sequence, which has higher bitrate, had got better quality scores, but there are discrepancies in the test results. It is
important to mention that this result is not representative, because the number of assessors, who have already taken part in our assessment, is less than 10.

To give a significant result we need to repeat this measurement with a large number of observers. According to our assumption, the lowest bitrate, which has still acceptable quality, is about 1500 Kbit/s. However, it will be our future work to verify it.

4. Conclusion

In this paper we have dealt with subjective quality assessments. We have introduced different assessment methods that we would like to apply for future measurements. Then, we have described our previous subjective quality assessment tests and listed some points in which we could improve. Finally, we have presented a new subjective video quality measurement of digital television streams in order to specify the minimum bitrate with an adequate quality. We have had only assumptions for the exact value of this bitrate; however we collected some useful experiences. We will have to solve some problems in the future, e.g. to create test materials in an appropriate way, to develop a well-applicable teaching method, etc.
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